

## Superconducting parameter determination for $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$ composite

M. ME. BARAKAT<sup>a,\*</sup>, N. AL-SAYYED<sup>b</sup>, R. AWAD<sup>b</sup>, A. I. ABOU-ALY<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

<sup>b</sup>Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Beirut Arab University, Beirut, Lebanon

Received: February 27, 2016; Revised: May 10, 2016; Accepted: May 15, 2016

© The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract:** The addition of magnetic  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles to the superconducting  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase has been used to investigate the electrical resistivity behavior of the composite above the superconducting transition temperature  $T_c$ . This was studied according to the opening of spin gap and fluctuation conductivity. The results indicated that the pseudogap temperature ( $T^*$ ) and superconducting fluctuation temperature ( $T_{\text{scf}}$ ) change by increasing the addition of  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles. It was found that  $T^*$  is related to hole carrier concentration  $P$  and it also depends on the antiferromagnetic fluctuation affected by magnetic nanoparticles. The excess-conductivity analysis showed four different fluctuation regions started from high temperature up to  $T_c$ , and they were denoted by short wave (sw), two-dimensional (2D), three-dimensional (3D), and critical (cr) fluctuations. The crossover temperature between 3D and 2D ( $T_{3\text{D}-2\text{D}}$ ) in the mean field region was decreased by increasing the addition of  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles, in accordance with the decrease in  $T_{\text{scf}}$  with  $x$ . The coherence length at 0 K along  $c$ -axis  $\xi_c(0)$ , effective layer thickness of the 2D system  $d$ , and inter-layer coupling strength  $J$  were estimated as a function of  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle addition. Moreover, the thermodynamics, lower and upper critical magnetic fields, as well as critical current density have been calculated from the Ginzburg number  $N_G$ . It was found that the low concentration of  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles up to  $x=0.08$  wt% improves the superconducting parameters of  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase. On the contrary, these parameters were deteriorated for  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  composite with  $x > 0.08$  wt%.

**Keywords:**  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles;  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase; pseudogap temperature; fluctuation conductivity

### 1 Introduction

Cu-1223 phase was synthesized at high pressure of 4 GPa with  $T_c=67$  K and anisotropy parameter  $\Gamma \approx 1.6$  [1–3]. Unfortunately, the high pressure synthesis hinders this phase from the large scale applications such as superconducting cables and magnets. The partial

substitution of thallium at copper site in the charge reservoir layer of Cu-1223 phase develops a new  $\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Tl}_x\text{-1223}$  phase that can be easily prepared at normal pressure. This is probably due to the hybrid of both Tl and Cu charge in the reservoir layer, leading to moderate synthesis conditions which maintain the low superconducting anisotropy [4].  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase has low anisotropy parameter, high critical current density  $J_c$  and  $T_c$  [4,5]. Therefore, this phase is a promising candidate for technological applications. The

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail: maibarakat\_phy@yahoo.com

tetragonal structure of  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase belongs to the superconductor cuprate with a  $P4/mmm$  space group. It contains three  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes and a semi-insulating charge reservoir layer ( $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{Ba}_2\text{O}_{4-\delta}$ ) in the unit cell.

The explanation of electrical resistivity behavior above the superconducting transition temperature is still unclear. Therefore, many studies concerned the deviation from linear behavior at certain temperature above  $T_c$ . The excess-conductivity studies, due to thermal fluctuations, are very important for understanding the intrinsic properties and dimensionality of high temperature superconductors (HTSCs). The intrinsic properties such as conduction dimensionality and coherence length, in addition to the extrinsic properties which include the sample's grain morphology, are of great importance in determining the superconducting properties. Several models are used to analyze the excess-conductivity such as Aslamazov–Larkin (AL), Maki–Thompson (MT), Lawrence–Doniach (LD), and Hikami–Larkin (HL) [6–9]. AL and LD models have been used to study the excess-conductivity for  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase. Qasim *et al.* [10] studied the excess-conductivity of  $\text{Cr}_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase ( $x=0.00, 0.50, 0.75$ , and  $1.00$  wt%). They attributed the change in the superconducting parameters to antiferromagnetic nature of Cr nanoparticles and the oxygen disorder within the superconducting regions which affect the carrier density in  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes. Nadeem *et al.* [11] reported the excess-conductivity of  $(\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  composite with  $x=0.00, 0.25, 0.50$ , and  $1.00$  wt%. They found that both the superconducting volume fraction and 3D conductivity fluctuation decrease by increasing  $\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle addition as a result of the localization of carriers across these magnetic nanoparticles. The 3D region shifted towards lower temperature due to the increase in the insulating behavior of the inter-grain boundaries. Hussain *et al.* [12] investigated the excess-conductivity of  $\text{Ag}_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase with  $x=0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0$ , and  $4.0$  wt%. The superconducting parameters were improved with the inclusion of Ag nanoparticles. This improvement was discussed according to healing of voids and improving the inter-grain connectivity of  $\text{Ag}_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  composite.

One of the properties of oxygen deficient HTSCs is a pseudogap (PG) which appears at temperature higher than  $T_c$  over a certain range of hole carrier concentration, extending from the under-doped to the slightly over-doped regions [13]. This phenomenon is explained

according to different reasons such as electron pairing [14,15], antiferromagnetic fluctuation [16], and spin–charge separation scenario [17,18]. There are two main reasons that explain this phenomenon: (1) the first one is based on strong pairing regime with low dimensionality and low superfluid density [14] at which PG arises from strong superconductivity fluctuation; (2) PG could arise from some non-superconducting correlations at which PG competes with superconductivity [19]. Khurram *et al.* [20] reported that the pseudogap temperature is the lowest in  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  sample which has the highest  $T_c$  in  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-12}(n-1)n$  family compared with  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1212}$  and  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1234}$  samples.

In the present work, the temperature dependence of electrical resistivity for  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  composite was performed and analyzed according to the opening of pseudogap spin and fluctuation conductivity. The superconducting parameters such as the coherence length at 0 K along  $c$ -axis, effective layer thickness of the 2D system, inter-layer coupling strength, the thermodynamics, lower and upper critical magnetic fields, and critical current density were calculated as a function of  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle addition.

## 2 Experimental technique

$\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle powder was prepared using co-precipitation method by dissolving pure chemical reagents of  $\text{FeCl}_3\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ , and  $\text{CoCl}_2\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in distilled water, and the Co:Zn:Fe molar ratio was fixed to 0.5:0.5:2. Then, the pH was adjusted to 12.5 by adding NaOH solution dropwise in the mixture with constant stirring. Accompanied with continuous stirring, the solution was heated at  $60^\circ\text{C}$  for 2 h. The co-precipitated powder was filtered and washed for several times with distilled water till the pH reached 7, and then it was dried in an oven in air atmosphere at  $90^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h. Finally, the powder was calcined in air at  $500^\circ\text{C}$  for 4 h. The crystalline size of  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles obtained by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements was about 5–8 nm.


$(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{Ba}_2\text{Ca}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{10-\delta}$  superconductor samples, with  $x=0.00, 0.04, 0.08, 0.12, 0.16$ , and  $0.20$  wt%, were prepared by single step solid-state reaction technique. Stoichiometric amounts of high purity oxides of  $\text{Tl}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{BaO}_2$ ,  $\text{CaO}$ , and  $\text{CuO}$  were

mixed, grinded, and sifted twice by a 125  $\mu\text{m}$  sieve to insure a perfectly homogenous mixture.  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles were added to this powder and mixed carefully to obtain a homogenous mixture. The powder was pressed with 15  $\text{ton}/\text{cm}^2$  pressure using a hydraulic press to reduce the number of voids in the sample and to minimize the inter-grain contact problems. The resultant disc was 1.5 cm in diameter and about 0.3 cm in thickness. The pellets were wrapped in a silver foil in order to reduce thallium evaporation. The samples were heated inside a Muffle furnace to 760  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  at a rate of 4  $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ , followed by a rate of 2  $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$  to 850  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and finally held at this temperature for 5 h. The samples were then slowly cooled to room temperature. The samples were characterized using XRD and the results showed that the relative volume fraction for  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}$ -1223 phase increases by increasing the addition of  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles up to  $x=0.08$  wt% and then it decreases for further increase in  $x$ . Moreover, the lattice parameters  $a$  and  $c$  are unchanged with the increase in  $x$ .

The electrical resistivity of the prepared samples was measured from room temperature down to zero-resistivity temperature  $T_0$  with a closed cryogenic refrigeration system. The temperature of the samples was monitored by a chromel versus Fe–Au thermocouple and stabilized with the aid of a temperature controller within  $\pm 0.1$  K.

### 3 Results and discussion

The temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity for  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}$ -1223 phase with  $x=0.00, 0.04, 0.08, 0.12, 0.16$ , and  $0.20$  wt% is displayed in Fig. 1. All the samples are characterized by a nearly sharp resistive transition, indicating the high purity of these samples. Moreover, they show a metal-like behavior in normal state, followed by a superconducting transition by decreasing temperature. The metallic behavior above  $T_c$  could be interpreted by the liquid model that provides a natural explanation for anomalous features of transport data in several HTSCs. Few models concern the linear temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity. One may exclude the electron–phonon interaction because of the relatively small electron–phonon coupling constant estimated from the electrical resistivity measurements. It is well known that the in-plane electrical resistivity is produced by the scattering of the bosons from fermions, resulting




**Fig. 1** Temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity for  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}$ -1223 phase with  $x=0.00, 0.04, 0.08, 0.12, 0.16$ , and  $0.20$  wt%.

in the linear temperature dependence. According to this model, the spin charge separation in  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes results in two different rates, a transverse rate which gives the Hall angle dependence and the other rate is a longitudinal rate that gives the well-known linear resistivity. In addition, a small curvature in the electrical resistivity data above the superconducting transition temperature is observed. This curvature characterizes the superconducting thermodynamic (or Cooper pair) fluctuations [21] or the opening of spin gap that appears in HTSCs due to magnetic impurities [22].

The variation of room temperature resistivity  $\rho_{\text{room}}$  and residual resistivity  $\rho_0$  with different addition of  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles for  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}$ -1223 phase is shown in Fig. 2.  $\rho_{\text{room}}$  is the resistivity at 300 K and  $\rho_0$  is obtained from the fitting of resistivity data in the temperature range  $170 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 300 \text{ K}$ , according to Matthiessen's rule:

$$\rho_n = \rho_0 + \beta T \quad (1)$$

It is evident that both  $\rho_{\text{room}}$  and  $\rho_0$  increase as  $x$  increases. The normal-state resistivity  $\rho_n$  is regarded as a measure of disorder, indicating that the disorder increases as  $x$  increases. It is well known that the residual resistivity arises from the impurity scattering in the  $\text{CuO}_2$  plane. The increase in  $\rho_0$  as  $x$  increases implies that the impurity scattering in the  $\text{CuO}_2$  plane also increases. A similar result was observed by Nadeem *et al.* [11] for  $(\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}$ -1223 composite. The increase in  $\rho_{\text{room}}$  and  $\rho_0$  is attributed to the scattering of carriers across the agglomerated magnetic nanoparticles at grain boundaries. The resistivity temperature coefficient  $\beta$  increases from 0.0048 to 0.0065  $\text{m}\Omega \cdot \text{cm} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$  as  $x$  increases from 0.00 to 0.20 wt%. This could be due to the unsuspected




**Fig. 2** Variation of room temperature resistivity  $\rho_{\text{room}}$  and residual resistivity  $\rho_0$  with different  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle addition into  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase.


temperature-dependent scattering of magnetic impurities in  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles [23].

### 3.1 Pseudogap

The pseudogap temperature  $T^*$  is determined by plotting  $d\rho/dT$  versus  $T$  and  $\rho_m - \rho_n$  versus  $T$ , where  $\rho_m$  is the measured resistivity [24]. Figures 3 and 4 show the variation of experimental resistivity and the fitted data according to Eq. (1) with temperature for  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase for  $x = 0.00$  and  $0.04$  wt%, respectively, and the insets of Figs. 3 and 4 show the variation of both  $d\rho/dT$  and  $\rho_m - \rho_n$  with temperature. The deviation point of linear resistivity behavior with temperature is called “pseudogap temperature” which corresponds to the opening of pseudogap. There are two characteristic temperatures related to phase transition in HTSCs [25]. The first one is the pseudogap temperature  $T^*$  below which the fermions become paired. The second is the Bose–Einstein condensation temperature  $T_{\text{BE}}$  below which the bosons (responsible for conductivity) condense for the under-doped material. Since bosons are solely scattered by fermions, the decrease of scattering centering with fermions is due to the fermions pairing which causes the reduction in the electrical resistivity below the pseudogap temperature. This explains the deviation from the linear behavior of resistivity versus temperature, as observed in experiments. This separation of spin and charge is supposed to occur in 2D electron systems [26,27]. Two values for  $T^*$  are deduced from graphs  $d\rho/dT$  versus temperature and  $\rho_m - \rho_n$  versus temperature. The difference between the two values is in the range of 2–6 K. Table 1 lists the average value of  $T^*$  with  $x$ . This temperature equals to  $T_c$  around optimum doping, but it is larger than  $T_c$  in the under-doped region. It is clear



**Fig. 3** Variation of resistivity with temperature for  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  sample. The inset shows the variation of both  $d\rho/dT$  and  $\rho_m - \rho_n$  with temperature.



**Fig. 4** Variation of resistivity with temperature for  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_{0.04\text{wt\%}}/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  sample. The inset shows the variation of both  $d\rho/dT$  and  $\rho_m - \rho_n$  with temperature.

**Table 1** Variation of  $T^*$ ,  $T_{\text{scf}}$ ,  $T_c$ ,  $\Delta T_c$ , and  $\Delta T_{\text{scf}}$  with different  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle addition into  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase

$x$ (wt%)	$T^*$ (K)	$T_{\text{scf}}$ (K)	$T_c$ (K)	$\Delta T_c$ (K)	$\Delta T_{\text{scf}}$ (K)
0.00	158	149	112.00	12.25	37.00
0.04	154	143	114.50	11.00	28.50
0.08	152	141	115.75	10.25	25.25
0.12	156	147	113.25	12.25	33.75
0.16	160	144	111.00	12.50	33.00
0.20	163	145	110.13	15.38	34.87

that the highest value of  $T_c$  is corresponding to the lowest value of  $T^*$ . This indicates that  $T^*$  is affected by the oxygen content or the scattering of carriers at grain boundaries. Moreover, it depends on the change of carrier density in the  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes. This result is similar to that obtained by François *et al.* [28] for Pr-doping and oxygen deficiency on the complex conductivity and scattering rate of  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  thin film, as well as Mohammadzadeh *et al.* [25] for pseudogap study in Gd-123 phase substituted by Pr. This variation between  $T^*$  and  $T_c$  could be interpreted by Emery *et al.* [29] who


have developed a preformed pair model based on micro stripes. Phase separation takes place on a microscopic scale generating dynamical charged stripes which are separated by insulating antiferromagnetic stripes.

The hole carrier concentration per Cu ion ( $P$ ) is calculated through following relation [30]:


$$P = 0.16 - \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{T_c}{T_c^{\max}} \right) / 82.6 \right]^{0.5} \quad (2)$$

where  $T_c^{\max}$  is taken as 120 K for  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase [31]. Figure 5 shows the variation of  $T^*$  with  $P$ . An empirical relation  $T^* = aP^{-b}$  yields values of  $a$  and  $b$  27.3 and 0.86, respectively. The value of  $b$  is greater than that obtained for  $\text{Tl}_2\text{Ba}_2\text{Ca}_{1-x}\text{Y}_x(\text{Cu}_{1-y}\text{Co}_y)_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$  phase ( $b = 0.55\text{--}0.69$ ) [32].


The superconducting fluctuation temperature  $T_{\text{scf}}$  is determined by analyzing the second derivative of resistivity with temperature  $d^2\rho/dT^2$  [24]. Figure 6 shows the variation of  $d^2\rho/dT^2$  as a function of  $T$  for  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  composite with  $x = 0.00, 0.08, 0.12$ , and  $0.20$  wt%.  $T^*$  and  $T_{\text{scf}}$  have been estimated from  $d^2\rho/dT^2$  versus  $T$  for (Hg,Re)-1223



**Fig. 5** Variation of  $T^*$  with  $P$  for  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase.



**Fig. 6** Variation of  $d^2\rho/dT^2$  versus  $T$  for  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  composite with  $x = 0.00, 0.08, 0.012$ , and  $0.20$  wt%.



**Fig. 7** Variation of both  $\Delta T_c$  and  $\Delta T_{\text{scf}}$  with  $x$ .

phase by Passos *et al.* [33].  $d^2\rho/dT^2$  increases in the negative region to zero and then changes its sign at which  $T_{\text{scf}}$  can be determined. There is a decrease in the superconducting fluctuation temperature  $T_{\text{scf}}$  from 149 to 141 K as  $x$  increases from 0.00 to 0.08 wt%, then it increases to 145 K for  $x = 0.20$  wt%. It is noticed that  $T_{\text{scf}}$  lies between the superconducting transition temperature  $T_c$  and the pseudogap temperature  $T^*$ . The variation of  $\Delta T_c$  ( $T_c - T_0$ ) and  $\Delta T_{\text{scf}}$  ( $T_{\text{scf}} - T_c$ ) versus  $x$  is shown in Fig. 7. It is clear that the variation of  $\Delta T_c$  and  $\Delta T_{\text{scf}}$  with  $x$  has the same trend. This means that both  $\Delta T_c$  and  $\Delta T_{\text{scf}}$  are strongly dependent on the percolative effect and larger contributions from the grain boundaries.

### 3.2 Excess-conductivity

The excess-conductivity  $\Delta\sigma$  is defined as

$$\Delta\sigma = \sigma_m(T) - \sigma_n(T) = \frac{\rho_n(T) - \rho_m(T)}{\rho_m(T)\rho_n(T)} \quad (3)$$

where  $\rho_m(T)$  is the measured resistivity and  $\rho_n(T)$  is the normal-state electrical resistivity which is calculated from Eq. (1). The normalized excess-conductivity  $\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma_{\text{room}}}$  is calculated by Aslamazov–

Larkin (AL) [6], using a microscopic approach in the mean field region (MFR) where the fluctuations are small through the following relation:

$$\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma_{\text{room}}} = At^\alpha \quad (4)$$

where  $t$  is the reduced temperature  $t = \frac{T - T_c}{T_c}$ . The

superconducting transition temperature  $T_c$  is determined from the curve of  $d\rho/dT$  with  $T$  and  $\alpha$  is the conductivity exponent and expressed as



$$\alpha = \begin{cases} -0.3, \text{ cr fluctuation} \\ -0.5, \text{ 3D fluctuation} \\ -1.0, \text{ 2D fluctuation} \\ -3.0, \text{ sw fluctuation} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

$A$  is the temperature independent amplitude and given by


$$A = \begin{cases} \frac{e^2}{32\hbar\xi_c(0)\sigma_{\text{room}}}, & \text{3D region} \\ \frac{e^2}{16\hbar d\sigma_{\text{room}}}, & \text{2D region} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Figures 8 and 9 show the variation of  $\ln\left(\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma_{\text{room}}}\right)$


with  $\ln t$  for  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase with  $x = 0.00$  and  $0.08$  wt%, respectively. The different fluctuation regions, sw, 2D, 3D, and cr, are clearly observed from the linear fitting, and the conductivity exponent values are determined from these slopes. The first region is observed at a temperature much higher than the mean field temperature ( $T_{\text{mf}}$ ). In this temperature region, the excess-conductivity decreases sharply and agrees well with the theoretical results. The conductivity exponent  $\alpha_{\text{sw}}$  varies from  $-3.1$  to  $-2.9$ , in consistent with the theoretical approach. A crossover between short wave fluctuations and mean field region is observed at a temperature above  $T_c$  by decreasing temperature. The mean field region consists of two distinct linear parts. The first one is at higher temperature around  $1.165T_c$ . The conductivity exponent  $\alpha_{2\text{D}}$  varies from  $-1.1$  to  $-0.97$ , in consistent with the theoretical approach. As the temperature is lowered down to  $1.08T_c$ , the 3D fluctuation region appears with the conductivity exponent  $\alpha_{3\text{D}}$  varying from  $-0.6$  to  $-0.48$ . The 3D–2D crossover could be explained according to the high anisotropy of HTSCs, where the charge carriers move more readily in some directions than in the others. The charge carriers also show some ability to move along molecular planes in this range of thermal fluctuations. At lower temperature a crossover takes place, where the charge carriers may move between the molecular planes and cross over from one plane to another. This crossover takes place in 3D, and then the charge carriers are more affected by thermal fluctuations. This means that the charge carriers tend to move more freely in the whole crystal before they make pairs. The last region is at Ginzburg temperature  $T_G = 1.01T_c$  which represents a crossover between 3D fluctuation and critical fluctuation. The value of

conductivity exponent  $\alpha_{\text{cr}}$  varies from  $-0.37$  to  $-0.31$ , expecting from the prediction of the 3D–xy universality dynamics class. This could be represented by E-model [34]. The model is based on the coupling of a 2D superconducting order parameter with a symmetry-breaking field (SBF). In the critical region, below  $T_G$ , the fluctuations in the order parameter become the same magnitude as the order parameter itself [35], and the Ginzburg–Landau theory becomes unsuitable. It is well known that  $T_G$  is very close to superconducting transition temperature  $T_c$ . This means the fluctuation in the order parameter is quiet similar to superconducting carrier concentration  $n_s$ , indicating that all normal carriers converted to superconducting carriers with thermal equilibrium and fluctuation are completely disappeared.

Table 2 displays the variation of conductivity exponents  $\alpha_{\text{cr}}$ ,  $\alpha_{3\text{D}}$ ,  $\alpha_{2\text{D}}$ , and  $\alpha_{\text{sw}}$  and the crossover



**Fig. 8** Variation of  $\ln\left(\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma_{\text{room}}}\right)$  versus  $\ln t$  of  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  sample.



**Fig. 9** Variation of  $\ln\left(\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma_{\text{room}}}\right)$  versus  $\ln t$  of  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_{0.08\text{wt\%}}/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  sample.

**Table 2** Variation of conductivity exponents  $\alpha_{cr}$ ,  $\alpha_{3D}$ ,  $\alpha_{2D}$ , and  $\alpha_{sw}$  and the crossover temperatures  $T_{cr-3D}$ ,  $T_{3D-2D}$ , and  $T_{2D-sw}$  with different  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle addition into  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase

$x$ (wt%)	$\alpha_{cr}$	$\alpha_{3D}$	$\alpha_{2D}$	$\alpha_{sw}$	$T_{cr-3D}$ (K)	$T_{3D-2D}$ (K)	$T_{2D-sw}$ (K)
0.00	-0.32	-0.61	-1.12	-3.16	113.25	115.75	128.25
0.04	-0.31	-0.53	-0.99	-3.08	115.75	116.38	136.00
0.08	-0.33	-0.48	-0.97	-3.06	117.00	118.25	139.50
0.12	-0.37	-0.61	-1.03	-2.90	114.50	115.13	135.00
0.16	-0.33	-0.54	-0.98	-2.97	112.94	114.50	129.50
0.20	-0.37	-0.61	-1.01	-2.92	111.38	112.00	124.50

temperatures  $T_{cr-3D}$ ,  $T_{3D-2D}$ , and  $T_{2D-sw}$  versus the addition of  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles. The crossover temperatures  $T_{cr-3D}$ ,  $T_{3D-2D}$ , and  $T_{2D-sw}$  shift to higher temperatures by increasing  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle addition up to  $x=0.08$  wt%, while a reverse trend is observed for  $x>0.08$  wt%. The shift of these regions to lower temperatures for  $x>0.08$  wt% indicates that the increase in  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle addition into  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase suppresses the phonon population [36]. This leads to the decrease of their density essential for the electron–phonon interactions, resulting in a suppression of the critical region and hence shifting these regions to lower temperatures.

The thermodynamic critical field  $B_c(0)$  is estimated from the Ginzburg number  $N_G$  according to the equation:

$$N_G = \left| \frac{T_G - T_c}{T_c} \right| = \left( \frac{k_B}{B_c^2(0) \gamma^2 \xi_c^3(0)} \right)^2 \quad (7)$$

where  $\gamma = \frac{\xi_{ab}}{\xi_c}$  is the anisotropy parameter. The

penetration depth  $\lambda(0)$ , lower critical magnetic field  $B_{c1}(0)$ , upper critical magnetic field  $B_{c2}(0)$ , critical current density  $J_c(0)$ , and Fermi energy  $E_F$  are calculated by the Ginzburg number  $N_G$ , using these following relations, respectively:

$$\lambda(0) = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\sqrt{2}\pi B_c \xi_c(0)} \quad (8)$$

$$B_{c1}(0) = \frac{B_c}{\kappa \sqrt{2}} \ln \kappa \quad (9)$$

$$B_{c2}(0) = \sqrt{2} \kappa B_c(0) \quad (10)$$

$$J_c(0) = \frac{4\kappa B_{c1}}{3\sqrt{3}\lambda(0) \ln \kappa} \quad (11)$$

$$E_F = \frac{1}{2} m^* v_F^2 = \frac{1}{2} m^* \left( \frac{3.5\pi \xi_c(0) k_B T_c}{2\hbar} \right)^2 \quad (12)$$

where  $\Phi_0 = \frac{h}{2e}$  is the flux-quantum number,  $\kappa =$

$\frac{\lambda(0)}{\xi_c(0)}$  is the Ginzburg–Landau parameter,  $m^*$  is the

effective mass of carriers ( $m^* \approx 10m_e$ ) [37], and  $v_F$  is the Fermi velocity of carriers. These parameters are listed in Table 3 with different values of  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle addition. The value of  $\xi_c(0)$  for pure sample is higher than that reported by Khurram *et al.* ( $\xi_c(0) = 10$  Å) [38]. These results could be interpreted according to the simple grain model. Ceramic samples exhibit complex transport behavior because they are composed of crystallites, in which the transport depends on the mixing of the conductor planes (*ab*) with the insulating *c*-axis, the inhomogeneity, and the nature of present defects at the crystalline boundaries.  $\xi_c(0)$  decreases as  $x$  increases from 0.00 to 0.08 wt% and then it increases with further increase in  $x$  for  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase. The increase in  $\xi_c(0)$  could be attributed to the removal of oxygen from the charge reservoir layer. All the values of inter-layer coupling are less than one, indicating a weak coupling between the  $\text{CuO}_2$  planes [39]. The thermodynamic critical field, lower critical field, upper critical field, and critical current density increase as  $x$  increases from 0.0 to 0.08 wt%; beyond that they decrease with further increase in  $x$ . This means that the addition of  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles improves the superconducting parameters, while the high addition of  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles degrades them. The increase in thermodynamic parameters  $B_c(0)$ ,  $B_{c1}(0)$ ,  $B_{c2}(0)$ , and  $J_c(0)$  could be due to the reduction of magnetic vortex motion via improvement of pinning capability within the sample, suggesting the presence of strong pinning sources. Snezhko *et al.* [40] found that the enhancement of vortex pinning by the addition of magnetic nanoparticles in the bulk type-II superconductors is due to the interaction between the finite-size spherical magnetic inclusion and Abrikosov vortex. Moreover, they showed that the magnetic nanoparticles lead to a considerable enhancement of vortex pinning in large  $\kappa$  type-II superconductors. On the other hand, the decrease in the superconducting parameters is attributed to the increase in the grain boundary resistance, the formation of secondary phases, and the reduction in the flux pinning inside the samples. The calculated Fermi velocities for all prepared samples are found to be less than that of the free electron ( $v_F = 10^6 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ), and the Fermi energy decreases as  $x$  increases from 0.00 to 0.08 wt%, then it increases with

further increase in  $x$ . The enhancement of  $E_F$  with the increase in  $x$  is probably due to the change in the band structure of carriers or the change of carrier concentration in  $\text{CuO}_2$  plane. Finally, one can notice that the values of  $B_c(0)$ ,  $B_{c2}(0)$ , and  $E_F$  are nearly close to those obtained for  $\text{Cr}_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  [10] and  $(\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  [11] phases, while the values of  $\xi_c(0)$  are greater than those reported by Qasim *et al.* [10] and Nadeem *et al.* [11]. Moreover, the values of  $J_c(0)$  are greater than those reported by Qasim *et al.* [10]. This difference is probably due to the different preparation methods and calculation method of  $\xi_c(0)$ . On the other hand, the values of  $\xi_c(0)$  are quite similar to that reported by Abou-Aly *et al.* [41] for  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase.

#### 4 Conclusions

Composite superconducting samples of type  $(\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4)_x/\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  were successfully prepared by solid-state reaction technique. The electrical resistivity behavior above  $T_c$  was investigated using pseudogap and excess-conductivity analysis in order to determine the superconducting parameters. The pseudogap temperature was related to  $T_c$  and the hole carrier concentration; it was decreased by increasing them. The variation of  $\Delta T_c$  and  $\Delta T_{\text{scf}}$  with  $x$  had the same trend, indicating that they were strongly dependent on the percolative effect and larger contributions from the grain boundaries, respectively. It was found that, from excess-conductivity analysis, the thermodynamic parameters were improved by increasing  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle addition up to  $x = 0.08$  wt%, beyond which they were deteriorated for  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase. This improvement could be explained according to the enhancement in vortex pinning through the addition of magnetic  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles into  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$

phase, resulting from the interaction of finite-size spherical magnetic inclusion with Abrikosov vortex.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was performed in the Superconductivity and Metallic Glass Lab, Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt, in collaboration with Beirut Arab University, Beirut, Lebanon.

#### References

- [1] Tokiwa K, Tanaka Y, Iyo A, *et al.* High pressure synthesis and characterization of single crystals of  $\text{CuBa}_2\text{Ca}_3\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_y$  superconductor. *Physica C* 1998, **298**: 209–216.
- [2] Takeuchi T, Iijima Y, Inoue K, *et al.* Effect of flat-roll forming on critical current density characteristics and microstructure of  $\text{Nb}_3\text{Al}$  multifilamentary conductors. *IEEE T Appl Supercon* 1997, **7**: 1529–1532.
- [3] Ihara H. New low anisotropic high- $T_c$  superconductors  $(\text{Cu}, \text{Ag})\text{Ba}_2\text{Ca}_{n-1}\text{Cu}_n\text{O}_{2n+4-y}$ . In *Advances in Superconductivity VII*. Yamafuji K, Morishita T, Eds. Springer Japan, 1995: 255–260.
- [4] Ihara H, Sekita Y, Tateai H, *et al.* Superconducting properties of  $\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Tl}_x\text{-1223}$  [ $\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Tl}_x(\text{Ba}, \text{Sr})_2\text{Ca}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{10-y}$ ] thin films. *IEEE T Appl Supercon* 1999, **9**: 1551–1554.
- [5] Khan NA, Sekita Y, Tateai F, *et al.* Preparation of biaxially oriented  $\text{TlCu-1234}$  thin films. *Physica C* 1999, **320**: 39–44.
- [6] Aslamazov LG, Larkin AI. The influence of fluctuation pairing of electrons on the conductivity of normal metal. *Phys Lett A* 1968, **26**: 238–239.
- [7] Thompson RS. Microwave, flux flow, and fluctuation resistance of dirty type-II superconductors. *Phys Rev B* 1970, **1**: 327.
- [8] Lawrence WE, Doniach S. Theory of layer structure superconductor. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Low-Temperature Physics*. Kanda E, Ed. Keigaku, Tokyo, 1971: 361.
- [9] Hikami S, Larkin AI. Magnetoresistance of high temperature superconductors. *Mod Phys Lett B* 1988, **2**: 693.

**Table 3** Variation of the calculated superconducting parameters with different  $\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Zn}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle addition into  $\text{Cu}_{0.5}\text{Tl}_{0.5}\text{-1223}$  phase

$x$ (wt%)	$N_G$	$\xi_c(0)$ (Å)	$J$	$d$ (Å)	$B_c(0)$	$B_{c1}(0)$	$B_{c2}(0)$	$J_c$ ( $10^3 \text{ A} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ )	$\lambda$ (Å)	$\kappa$	$v_F$ ( $10^5 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ )	$E_F$ (eV)
0.00	0.0111	16.57	0.019	235.54	2.22	0.24	119.97	3.71	326.40	19.696	1.35	0.13
0.04	0.0109	14.35	0.016	220.74	2.77	0.28	159.98	5.00	302.08	21.049	1.19	0.10
0.08	0.0107	13.16	0.014	216.99	3.16	0.31	190.05	5.97	288.57	21.916	1.10	0.08
0.12	0.0110	22.54	0.035	240.75	1.40	0.16	64.82	2.01	379.68	16.839	1.85	0.24
0.16	0.0083	26.72	0.044	252.56	1.16	0.15	46.14	1.64	385.68	14.432	2.17	0.34
0.20	0.0113	28.71	0.044	273.02	0.97	0.12	39.96	1.22	431.49	15.026	2.29	0.38



- [10] Qasim I, Waqee-ur-Rehman M, Mumtaz M, *et al.* Role of anti-ferromagnetic Cr nanoparticles in CuTl-1223 superconducting matrix. *J Alloys Compd* 2015, **649**: 320–326.
- [11] Nadeem K, Hussain G, Mumtaz M, *et al.* Role of magnetic NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles in CuTl-1223 superconductor. *Ceram Int* 2015, **41**: 15041–15047.
- [12] Hussain G, Jabbar A, Qasim I, *et al.* Activation energy and excess conductivity analysis of (Ag)<sub>x</sub>/CuTl-1223 nano-superconductor composites. *J Appl Phys* 2014, **116**: 103911.
- [13] Naqib SH. Effects of Zn on superconductivity, stripe order, and pseudogap correlations in YBa<sub>2</sub>(Cu<sub>1-y</sub>Zn<sub>y</sub>)<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-δ</sub>. *Physica C* 2012, **476**: 10–14.
- [14] Emery VJ, Kivelson SA. Importance of phase fluctuations in superconductors with small superfluid density. *Nature* 1994, **374**: 434–437.
- [15] Chen Q, Kosztin I, Jankó B, *et al.* Pairing fluctuation theory of superconducting properties in underdoped to overdoped cuprates. *Phys Rev Lett* 1998, **81**: 4708.
- [16] Chubukov AV, Schmalian J. Temperature variation of the pseudogap in underdoped cuprates. *Phys Rev B* 1998, **57**: R11085.
- [17] Anderson PW. The ‘spin gap’ in cuprate superconductors. *J Phys: Condens Matter* 1996, **8**: 10083.
- [18] Lee PA. Pseudogaps in underdoped cuprates. *Physica C* 1999, **317–318**: 194–204.
- [19] Tallon JL, Loram JW. The doping dependence of  $T^*$ —what is the real high- $T_c$  phase diagram? *Physica C* 2001, **349**: 53–68.
- [20] Khurram AA, Khan NA, Mumtaz M. Intercomparison of fluctuation induced conductivity of Cu<sub>0.5</sub>Tl<sub>0.5</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>n-1</sub>Cu<sub>n</sub>O<sub>2n+4-y</sub> ( $n=2, 3, 4$ ) superconductor thin films. *Physica C* 2009, **469**: 279–282.
- [21] Abou Aly AI, Ibrahim IH, Awad R, *et al.* Stabilization of Tl-1223 phase by arsenic substitution. *J Supercond Nov Magn* 2010, **23**: 1325–1332.
- [22] Koo JH, Cho G. The spin-gap in high  $T_c$  superconductivity. *J Phys: Condens Matter* 2003, **15**: L729.
- [23] Abou-Aly AI, Awad R, Ibrahim IH, *et al.* Excess conductivity analysis for Tl<sub>0.8</sub>Hg<sub>0.2</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>9-δ</sub> substituted by Sm and Yb. *Solid State Commun* 2009, **149**: 281–285.
- [24] Naqib SH, Cooper JR, Tallon JL, *et al.* Doping phase diagram of Y<sub>1-x</sub>Ca<sub>x</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>(Cu<sub>1-y</sub>Zn<sub>y</sub>)<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-δ</sub> from transport measurements: Tracking the pseudogap below  $T_c$ . *Phys Rev B* 2005, **71**: 054502.
- [25] Mohammadizadeh MR, Akhavan M. Pseudogap in Gd-based 123 HTSC. *Physica B* 2003, **336**: 410–419.
- [26] Anderson PW. The resonating valence bond state in La<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> and superconductivity. *Science* 1987, **235**: 1196–1198.
- [27] Lee PA, Nagaosa N, Ng T-K, *et al.* SU(2) formulation of the  $t$ - $J$  model: Application to underdoped cuprates. *Phys Rev B* 1998, **57**: 6003.
- [28] François I, Jaekel C, Kyas G, *et al.* Influence of Pr doping and oxygen deficiency on the scattering behavior of YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> thin films. *Phys Rev B* 1996, **53**: 12502.
- [29] Emery VJ, Kivelson SA, Zachar O. Spin-gap proximity effect mechanism of high-temperature superconductivity. *Phys Rev B* 1997, **56**: 6120.
- [30] Presland MR, Tallon JL, Buckley RG, *et al.* General trends in oxygen stoichiometry effects on  $T_c$  in Bi and Tl superconductors. *Physica C* 1991, **176**: 95–105.
- [31] Ihara H, Tanaka K, Tanaka Y, *et al.* Mechanism of  $T_c$  enhancement in Cu<sub>1-x</sub>Tl<sub>x</sub>-1234 and -1223 system with  $T_c > 130$  K. *Physica C* 2000, **341–348**: 487–488.
- [32] Poddar A, Bandyopadhyay B, Chattopadhyay B. Effects of Co-substitution on superconductivity and transport in Tl<sub>2</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>1-x</sub>Y<sub>x</sub>(Cu<sub>1-y</sub>Co<sub>y</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8+δ</sub>. *Physica C* 2003, **390**: 120–126.
- [33] Passos CAC, Passamai Jr. JL, Orlando MTD, *et al.* An investigation of  $T^*$  behavior on (Hg,Re)-1223 system. *Physica C* 2007, **460–462**: 1086–1087.
- [34] Hohenberg PC, Halperin BI. Theory of dynamic critical phenomena. *Rev Mod Phys* 1977, **49**: 435.
- [35] Lobb CJ. Critical fluctuations in high- $T_c$  superconductors. *Phys Rev B* 1987, **36**: 3930.
- [36] Rahim M, Khan NA. Suppressed phonon density and Para conductivity of Cd doped Cu<sub>0.5</sub>Tl<sub>0.5</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>3</sub>Cu<sub>4-y</sub>Cd<sub>y</sub>O<sub>12-δ</sub> ( $y=0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75$ ) superconductors. *J Alloys Compd* 2012, **513**: 55–60.
- [37] Bardeen J, Cooper LN, Schrieffer JR. Theory of superconductivity. *Phys Rev* 1957, **108**: 1175.
- [38] Khurram AA, Khan NA. A search for a low anisotropic superconductor. *J Electromagnetic Analysis & Applications* 2010, **2**: 63–74.
- [39] Geru II, Ghilan ZI, Dihor IT, *et al.* *Moldavian Journal of the Physical Sciences* 2002, **N2**: 53.
- [40] Snezhko A, Prozorov T, Prozorov R. Magnetic nanoparticles as efficient bulk pinning centers in type-II superconductors. *Phys Rev B* 2005, **71**: 024527.
- [41] Abou-Aly AI, Awad R, Kamal M, *et al.* Excess conductivity analysis of (Cu<sub>0.5</sub>Tl<sub>0.5</sub>)-1223 substituted by Pr and La. *J Low Temp Phys* 2011, **163**: 184–202.

**Open Access** The articles published in this journal are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.